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How we thought we were going to migrate to RDS with no downtime

What actually happened when we tried it
Our Installation

- Isolated production environment in AWS
- Multiple databases
  - Live Transactions
  - Payment Details
  - FX Quotes and Trades
  - Fraud Tracking
  - Audit Records for PCI, AML, etc.
- Dedicated hosts for PostgreSQL Install
- Backups to S3
“No downtime”

- No disruption of the service
- 99.999% availability
  - We have limited opportunity for whole-service outages to perform upgrades or migrations
  - Evolution of the service has to be planned
- Customer Service doesn’t count
- Administrative functions don’t count
  - Fraud screening
  - Merchant access
payments matter
Outline

- **Determine a reasonable plan**
  - Migrate to Multi-AZ RDS installation
  - Change everything else after

- **Set a deadline**
  - It needs to happen
  - Current administration overhead is too high

- **Submit a talk**
  - If it has to be done, and you have a deadline, what could go wrong?
The Plan

- Create a replica of current installation
- Work out all the details
- Keep good records
  - For the talk, of course
  - and the audits
- Re-run the tests
  - To make sure it is 1am proof
  - To measure and reduce side-effects
  - And document all the steps to be 1am proof
Start with the WORM

- Dedicated database that stores events
  - All requests and responses, in and out, with detailed timing
  - No updates
  - For auditing and diagnostics
- Can afford to have delayed read updates
  - Audits and diagnostics can wait - usually
- Can NOT afford to lose writes
  - We need it all recorded
WORM Plan

- Use DMS to migrate all of the data
- Switch all reads to the replicant - the soon-to-be master
- Verify data integrity, etc.
- Switch writes
  - Bump the sequence numbers on the new master
  - Switch DNS records
  - Wait for the old database to drain
  - Let DMS finish migration
First lessons

- **max_replication_slots**
- **max_wal_senders**
  - Needs to be increased to accommodate DMS
  - Each task needs a slot
- **wal_sender_timeout**
- **hba.conf**
  - Needs to allow access from DMS instance
  - `host replication my_super_user 10.0.2.232/32 md5`
  - `my_super_user needs replication permission`
“that might be an issue” - Tim

2016-03-21T21:10:58 [SOURCE_UNLOAD ]W: Value for column 'Data' was truncated. data len: 252218, bind len: 65538 (ar_odbc_stmt.c:2752)

2016-03-21T21:39:07 [TARGET_LOAD ]E: Command failed to load data with exit error code 1, Command output: ERROR: insert or update on table "Milestones" violates foreign key constraint "Milestones_RecordId_fkey"
First attempts

● First test failed
  ○ “text” is considered a CLOB type in DMS
  ○ Don’t load your entire schema

● Second “Full LOB” test was slow
  ○ We let it finish, and it took 9d 20h 48m

● Third test seemed to work
  ○ We ran with LOB truncation set beyond largest
  ○ Finished in 2h 8m

● Did it work?
Reality ...

- We thought it worked
- Our checks seemed to indicate it did
- We switched over the readers to use it
- Writes remained on the old master
- DMS continued to migrate new records
- Unfortunately, it corrupted some of the new records
  - We checked, and it only started after the initial load
Other things we learned

- Functions are not migrated
  - This may be problematic for you
- Indexes are not migrated
  - This is likely good, but you also need to know that you need to re-create them
- Constraints are not migrated
  - Likely to facilitate bulk data loading, but could be done after that
- Just the basic table layout
What we did

- Use **pg_dump** to get all the pieces
  - `pg_dump -s my_database > file.psql`
- Edit heavily
  - Remove table creation
  - Remove sequence updates
- Use DMS “Full Load with ongoing changes”
  - Will import all the data from when you start, they start migrating changes as they happen
- When the full load has completed, load the file
What that gets you

- Your data is loaded and changes are migrating
- Your functions are in place
- Indexes are re-created
- Constraints are back

- Basically, you have a (mostly) functional database
  - Except for the sequences
aside

- Creating indexes takes a while
- Adjust console timeouts accordingly
- Some kind of ASCII progress meter would have saved our first run

- PCI is fun!
Sequence update

something like this:

```sql
select max("MilestoneId") + 10000 into _seq
from timeline."Milestones";
select 'alter sequence timeline."Milestones_MilestoneId_seq" restart with ' || _seq::text;
```
One last test

- This time with the right instance type
- Initial load took 29 minutes
  - We suspect the IOPS for the destination made the difference
  - db.m3.xlarge Multi-AZ vs. db.r3.2xlarge Multi-AZ
- Still started to corrupt data after the initial load
- Still didn’t want to run long term without full LOB
  - We know the length of the longest existing record
  - We don’t know anything about any new records
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data</th>
<th>&quot;status&quot;: 200, &quot;entity&quot;: &quot;var ...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>bit_length</td>
<td>5536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td>'{&quot;status&quot;: 200, &quot;entity&quot;: &quot;var ...'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bit_length</td>
<td>5544</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Next ... the important DB

- About 75 inter-related tables
  - Live transactions
  - Order details
  - Payment details
  - Fraud
  - FX quotes
  - Remittance data
  - etc.

The normal “evolved mess”
create or replace function uuid.generate()
returns uuid
as '$libdir/uuid-ossp', 'uuid_generate_v4'
volatile strict language C;
create or replace function uuid.generate()
returns uuid
security definer
language plpgsql
as $$
declare
begin
    return uuid_generate_v4();
end;
$$;
create or replace function uuid.generate()
  returns uuid
  security definer
  language plpgsql
as $$
declare
begin
  return pgcrypto.gen_random_uuid();
end;
$$;
“that’s a killer” - Tim

Hstore is not a supported data type for postgres using AWS DMS. Please find the list of supported data types at http://docs.aws.amazon.com/dms/latest/userguide/CHAP_Reference.Source.PostgreSQL.DataTypes.html.
oops

[11:18] benoît: pg_xlog caused a drive on db1 to go to 92% in 12 hours from 88%

- While you are figuring out all this stuff...
We didn’t get far

- We use some PG specific types
  - Like HSTORE
  - In about 5 different tables
  - DMS doesn’t like that .. yet
- We don’t have any more clever schemes
- So we stopped
Recommendations for the RDS Team

● Support all PostgreSQL data types
  ○ And if you can't do all of them, at least scan the schema at the start and stop

● Fix "Full LOB" migration
  ○ It shouldn't take 120x longer than truncating
  ○ Especially if 99.9% of the data is shorter than the chunk size

● DMS Instance Types
  ○ What is the difference?
  ○ No indication anywhere of what is impacted by the selection
More Recommendations

- **DMS Instance storage**
  - What is it for? How do I chose?
- **Figure out the data corruption**
  - We have no idea why it would happen
  - Nothing special about what we’re doing
- **Design for novice users**
  - A key motivator for us was offloading the low-level details
- **DMS instance couldn't resolve ip-10-0-128-10.ec2.internal**
One more

- Fix the DMS status bar
  - Currently indicates % of tables migrated
  - In our case, sat at 0% for a while
  - Then 33% for a while
  - Then 66% for a LONG time

- Some better method?
So?

- Are we done yet?
  - No
- Can we use DMS?
  - No ... not yet
- Can we use RDS?
  - Yes!
  - But we want NOTIFY/LISTEN to work soon!
- What now?
  - Old-school methods
We still have to do it

- **WORM data**
  - Manual replication
  - Bump the sequences
  - Update DNS
  - Backfill the updates

- **Payment Database**
  - Backfill as much as possible
  - Stop everything
  - dump/restore
  - Eat into our uptime budget
¯\_(_ツ_)_/¯
better ideas?