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Who is Emma?
At Emma, we're out to create a world-class brand that's known and loved by marketers, designers and business owners everywhere. And we're well on our way, supporting the email marketing efforts of roughly 40,000 businesses, nonprofits and agencies doing all sorts of interesting things in all sorts of interesting places, assuming Belgium makes your list of interesting places, and why wouldn't it?

http://myemma.com

Stylish Email Marketing
Tell a story about some of our Postgres performance experiences with the evolution of the data model around our member information, where we stumbled along the way, and how we carried on.

Why am I here?
Member information is an account's email list and any additional attributes that the customer desires such as:

- first name
- last name
- favorite database

What is member information?
• Horizontally partitioned data by account using table inheritance
• 14 child tables created per account
• Exporting member information was fast and easy because all member information were contained in a single table, no complaints here

Once upon a time...
• If my members were the PostgreSQL Core Team:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>email</th>
<th>first_name</th>
<th>last_name</th>
<th>favorite_dbms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>josh at agliodbs.com</td>
<td>Josh</td>
<td>Berkus</td>
<td>PostgreSQL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peter_e at gmx.net</td>
<td>Peter</td>
<td>Eisentraut</td>
<td>PostgreSQL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>magnus at hagander.net</td>
<td>Magnus</td>
<td>Hagander</td>
<td>PostgreSQL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tgl at sss.pgh.pa.us</td>
<td>Tom</td>
<td>Lane</td>
<td>PostgreSQL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bruce at momjian.us</td>
<td>Bruce</td>
<td>Momjian</td>
<td>PostgreSQL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dpage at pgadmin.org</td>
<td>Dave</td>
<td>Page</td>
<td>PostgreSQL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example of member information
• Over 40,000 accounts in the system
  • Hard to mine data
  • Well over one million objects in the system (tables, indexes, sequences, etc.)
  • Hard to administer database system
  • Induced **ALTER TABLE** statements whenever an attribute is added

**What was wrong?**
• How many marketing campaigns were sent yesterday?

• Getting counts from the parent tables would need 40,000 locks, one per child table

• More complex queries would start adding tables to join

Example of simple data mining exercise
• Backups with `pg_dump` takes more than whole day

• Less than 1 terabyte of data

Issues with a large system catalog
Time to do something dramatic!
Highlighting a few of the changes that occurred:

- Reduced the number of database objects by horizontally partitioning into a fixed number of tables (1024 partitions)
- Approximately 1 GB of data per partition
- Developed home grown Python middleware layer between Web front end and database systems
- Major database schema refactor: applied entity-attribute-value data model to member information

A few years ago...
Entity-attribute-value model (EAV) is a data model to describe entities where the number of attributes (properties, parameters) that can be used to describe them is potentially vast, but the number that will actually apply to a given entity is relatively modest.

... 

EAV is also known as object-attribute-value model, vertical database model and open schema.

• Pros

• Avoid expensive **ALTER TABLE** statements when adding or removing member attributes

• Cons

• Data will need to be queried differently

• Data type checking either done using multiple tables or multiple columns (opted for latter)

What we knew before applying EAV
Three tables make up the model:

- **Entity**: `member` table contains attributes that all members must have, e.g. email address.

- **Attribute**: `field` table contains the custom attributes that users defines, e.g. favorite database management system.

- **Value**: `member_field` table contains the values for custom attributes defined in the `field` table.

**EAV table descriptions**
EAV ER Diagram
The middleware:

- Uses SQLAlchemy ORM to pull data and performs a data pivot
- Restricts API calls to return up to 500 members per call

Exporting member information from EAV model
Before:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>email</th>
<th>field_name</th>
<th>value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>josh at agliodb.com</td>
<td>first_name</td>
<td>Josh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>josh at agliodb.com</td>
<td>last_name</td>
<td>Berkus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>josh at agliodb.com</td>
<td>favorite_dbms</td>
<td>PostgreSQL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>email</th>
<th>first_name</th>
<th>last_name</th>
<th>favorite_dbms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>josh at agliodb.com</td>
<td>Josh</td>
<td>Berkus</td>
<td>PostgreSQL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pivoting data
That doesn’t look so bad, right? How much data might our customers have?
Ranked in order of potentially most values:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>rank</th>
<th>account</th>
<th>members</th>
<th>fields</th>
<th>values</th>
<th>max values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>41383</td>
<td>994,684</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>32,079,663</td>
<td>118,367,396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>21322</td>
<td>1,902,163</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>5,354,715</td>
<td>112,227,617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2451</td>
<td>4,661,264</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>844,881</td>
<td>102,547,808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1703180</td>
<td>3,884,321</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>9,933,392</td>
<td>100,992,346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>41997</td>
<td>737,432</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>4,115,583</td>
<td>64,156,584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>18528</td>
<td>1,120,968</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>6,310,398</td>
<td>58,290,336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4393</td>
<td>656,672</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>5,175,631</td>
<td>55,817,120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1366214</td>
<td>470,107</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>7,272,797</td>
<td>51,241,663</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sample of account sizes
How long it takes to export member information?
All exports failed for our largest accounts!
Uh oh, some accounts can't export their member lists anymore. Something is taking too long:

- PostgreSQL statement timeouts? Disable statement timeout?

- Apache HTTP timeouts? Don’t go through the Web server?

- Network switches TCP/IP idle timeouts? Get closer to the database server?

Did not finish?
After bypassing as many things as possible and extracting Python code to run directly against the database:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>rank</th>
<th>account</th>
<th>members</th>
<th>values</th>
<th>runtime</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>41383</td>
<td>994,684</td>
<td>32,079,663</td>
<td>DNF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>21322</td>
<td>1,902,163</td>
<td>5,354,715</td>
<td>DNF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4393</td>
<td>656,672</td>
<td>5,175,631</td>
<td>4 hours</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Maybe the middleware shouldn't be trying to do that much work.

Maybe the database management system can help…
PostgreSQL provides the extension *tablefunc* containing the *crosstab()* data pivoting functions.

http://www.postgresql.org/docs/current/static/tablefunc.html

The database can pivot data.
If you use the correct *crosstab* function…
I have Emma’s favorite DBMS, but not her last name. These *crosstab* functions put only non-NULL data into the next column pivoted and pads any remaining columns with NULLs.

crosstab(text sql) and crosstabN(text sql)
This *crosstab* function aligns the data with the column it is pivoted to.

```sql

crosstab(text source_sql, text category_sql)
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>email</th>
<th>first_name</th>
<th>last_name</th>
<th>favorite_dbms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>josh at agliodbs.com</td>
<td>Josh</td>
<td>Berkus</td>
<td>PostgreSQL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peter_e at gmx.net</td>
<td>Peter</td>
<td>Eisentraut</td>
<td>PostgreSQL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>magnus at hagander.net</td>
<td>Magnus</td>
<td>Hagander</td>
<td>PostgreSQL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tgl at sss.pgh.pa.us</td>
<td>Tom</td>
<td>Lane</td>
<td>PostgreSQL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>emma at myemma.com</td>
<td>Emma</td>
<td></td>
<td>MongoDB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```
Quick note on *crosstab* parameters

- `source_sql` retrieves values ordered by member and field column order
- `category_sql` retrieves fields in column order
Was *crosstab* a positive improvement?
Timed python script running directly against database system:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>rank</th>
<th>account</th>
<th>members</th>
<th>values</th>
<th>previously</th>
<th>runtime</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>41383</td>
<td>994,684</td>
<td>32,079,663</td>
<td>DNF</td>
<td>22 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>21322</td>
<td>1,902,163</td>
<td>5,354,715</td>
<td>DNF</td>
<td>17 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4393</td>
<td>656,672</td>
<td>5,175,631</td>
<td>4 hours</td>
<td>10 min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results from using `crosstab`
Much faster!
• Cannot use ORM to model pivoted data
• Small exports (in the 100’s) appear to take a little longer

There are some tradeoffs
• Exports will fail again if we take on accounts somewhere between 5 to 10 million members

• Importing member data faces similar challenges in order to perform well

Not all obstacles have been removed
• Retrieving data from EAV model is inefficient

• Performance issues begin when pivoting only millions of rows

What we knew after having EAV
We still need to do better
What can we do?
Time to explore other data models
“What if we remove the member_field table from the database altogether?”

–Most popular question asked within Emma.
Let's prototype a different data model in Postgres
First look at *hstore* as a key/value data store…
And only have had time for *hstore* thus far…
This module implements the hstore data type for storing sets of key/value pairs within a single PostgreSQL value. This can be useful in various scenarios, such as rows with many attributes that are rarely examined, or semi-structured data. Keys and values are simply text strings.

http://www.postgresql.org/docs/current/static/hstore.html

Maybe the hstore extension can help proof a solution
Things to note before going in:

- No strict types; everything is a string
- No referential integrity constraints; cannot create a foreign key between an hstore key and a table column
- Native support may vary in higher level database connectivity libraries

Cons to hstore data type
Put the member attribute values into the **member** table as the *hstore* column **field**. The **key** in field's key/value pair is the field name.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>email</th>
<th>field</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>josh at agliodbs.com</td>
<td>“first_name”=&gt;”Josh”, “last_name”=&gt;”Berkus”, “favorite_dbms”=&gt;”PostgreSQL”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peter_e at gmx.net</td>
<td>“first_name”=&gt;”Peter”, “last_name”=&gt;”Eisentraut”, “favorite_dbms”=&gt;”PostgreSQL”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>magnus at hagander.net</td>
<td>“first_name”=&gt;”Magnus”, “last_name”=&gt;”Hagander”, “favorite_dbms”=&gt;”PostgreSQL”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What does *hstore* look like
Is it hard to convert EAV to key/value model?
Approximately 2 minutes to transform a single partition:

WITH u AS (  
  WITH t AS (  
    SELECT member_id, shortcut_name,  
      CASE WHEN f.field_type = 'text' THEN mf.text_value  
        WHEN f.field_type = 'text[]' THEN mf.array_value::TEXT  
        WHEN f.field_type = 'numeric' THEN mf.numeric_value::TEXT  
        WHEN f.field_type = 'boolean' THEN mf.boolean_value::TEXT  
        WHEN f.field_type = 'date' THEN date_value::TEXT  
        ELSE NULL END AS value  
    FROM field f, member_field mf  
    WHERE f.field_id = mf.field_id  
  )  
  SELECT member_id,  
    string_agg(hstore(shortcut_name, value)::TEXT, ',')::HSTORE AS hst  
  FROM t GROUP BY member_id  
)  
UPDATE member  
SET field = hst  
FROM u  
WHERE u.member_id = member.member_id;

Converting to hstore is fairly fast
SELECT email,
    field -> 'name_first' AS first_name,
    field -> 'name_last' AS last_name,
    field -> 'favorite_dbms' AS favorite_dbms
FROM member m
WHERE m.account_id = 88

Exporting member information with hstore
How fast is exporting member information with hstore?
Exporting member information is pretty fast

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>rank</th>
<th>account</th>
<th>members</th>
<th>values</th>
<th>SQLAlchemy</th>
<th>crosstab</th>
<th>hstore</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4393</td>
<td>656,672</td>
<td>5,175,631</td>
<td>4 hours</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>15 sec</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are we done yet?
• JSON to get some strict type checking (except dates)
• BSON?
• External data store
• Yet another data model
• XML might be used to get strict type checking with DTD

Other things to try, maybe
Thank you!